UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,985	07/26/2005	Tetsuji Zama	3103-110	1325
66458 WATCHSTON	7590 09/18/200 E P+D. PLC	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			THOMAS, JAISON P	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2657			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,985	ZAMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jaison P. Thomas	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3,6-10,13,14,17,3 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,5,11,12,15,16,19-24,29,30 and 32 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a period of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application of th	<u>18,25-28 and 31</u> is/are withdrawn fi <u>2-37</u> is/are rejected. /or election requirement. ner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/523,985 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III in the reply filed on 7/23/2008 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

- Claims 4,11,15,23,29,32 and 36 are objected to because of the following informalities: multiple types of devices are cited in the preamble of the claims.
 Applicants are suggested to amend claims to utilize a Markush group of devices e.g. --
- 4. A device comprising the conductive polymer of Claim 3 wherein the devices are selected from the group consisting of--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/523,985

Art Unit: 1796

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 4,5,11,12,15,16,19-24,29,30 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Madden et al. (US Patent 6249076).

With respect to the product by process limitations of Claims 4,5,11,12,15,16,19-24,29,30,32-37, the Examiner cites MPEP 2113 which states, "[E]ven though productby-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). "The Patent Office bears a lesser burden of proof in making out a case of prima facie obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature" than when a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. In re Fessmann, 489 F.2d 742, 744, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974). Once the examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product." In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983)

Application/Control Number: 10/523,985 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Madden et al. teaches a conducting polymer actuator wherein a polymer having a surface which is coupled with an electrolyte (Abstract). See Figure 1, wherein an active member "12" is surrounded by an electrolyte layer "14" and wherein the active member is a conductive polymer and the conductive polymer can be polypyrrole or polyaniline. Claim 1 describes the actuator also has a counter electrode (Col. 8, lines 50-58). The polymers can be produced by chemical or electrochemical methods (Col. 3, lines 36-62). The electrolyte can be in either liquid or solid form (Col. 4, lines 15-16). One application suggested for the prior art invention is use as a prostheses, which Examiner construes as being equivalent to an artificial muscle (Col. 5, line 45 and Col. 5, line 2). Strain ranges obtainable by the prior art actuator range from 2 to 5% (Col. 5, line 17).

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,985 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. P. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /Lorna M Douyon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796